AHA Age Friendly Health System Action Community Mobility Assessment and Action Mary Tinetti February, 2020

AFHS Safe Mobility: Hospital

- Screen for mobility If you do not have an existing tool, try Timed Up & Go (TUG)
- Ensure early and safe mobility
 - Assess & manage impairments (e.g. pain; strength, balance, or gait)
 - Physical therapy if needed
 - Avoid high risk medications;
 - Remove tethers (e.g. catheters, IV lines, telemetry as soon as possible)
- Set and meet a daily mobility goal with each older adult

AFHS Safe Mobility: Ambulatory

- Screen for mobility: If don't have an existing tool, try Timed Up & Go (TUG)
- Assess & manage impairments (e.g., pain; strength, balance, or gait)
- Avoid high risk medications; remove catheters, including
- Physical therapy if needed
- Support a home environment that is safe for mobility
- Support older adults to identify a daily mobility goal that supports What Matters
- Review and support progress toward the mobility goal

Mobility Assessment and Action

- Why (Safe) Mobility is one of the 4Ms
- Hospital setting
 - Ways to assess
 - Interventions
 - Our experiences and challenges
- Ambulatory setting
- Your approaches, challenges, questions, suggestions

Why is mobility assessment and action important?

- Overwhelming evidence of negative consequences of decreased mobility
- Central to ability to perform activities of daily living and basic needs
- Assessments can be performed without adding significant burden that will allow institutions to assess mobility
- Interventions that can be done without significant burden that can encourage mobility

Why mobility assessment & action important in hospital

- Spend 95% of time in bed or chair Brown, JAGS 2009; Brown CJ, 2004
- ↓ muscle mass & strength → deconditioning → most common cause of delay in discharge
- \downarrow ADLs and \uparrow NH admission adjusting for illness severity
- Linked to pressure ulcers, venous stasis, \downarrow function & mobility, \uparrow LOS, early readmission
- 30 to 60% older adults lose function during hospital stay
 - 1/3 not recover ADL function at 1 year Boyd, JAGS 2008
 - Leads to post-acute and long-term institutionalization

Why safe mobility rather than fall prevention?

- Unintended consequences of (CMS) focus on fall (injury) (Growden, JAMA Int Med 2017)
- Foster "simple" but ineffective,? harmful, unethical interventions
 - Alarms restrict mobility \rightarrow can lead to aggression & infringes upon rights and dignity
- Adverse effect of immobility > benefits of fall injury prevention

Examples of Mobility Assessments in Hospital

- AMPAC
- Banner Mobility Assessment Tool
- Hierarchical Assessment of Balance and Mobility (HABAM)
- de Morton Mobility Index (DEMMI)
- TUG or Get Up and Go

Johns Hopkins Highest Level of Mobility (JH-HLM)



Hoyer, J Hosp Med 2016

Banner Mobility Assessment Tool (BMAT)

- Assessment Level 1 Sit and Shake
- Assessment Level 2 Stretch and Point
 - stretch leg, straighten knee, bend the ankle, point toes
- Assessment Level 3 Stand
 - Rise from bed or chair to standing position
 - Can use assist device
- Assessment Level 4 Walk
 - March in place and advance step

Banner Mobility Assessment Tool for nurses

BMAT

Nurses have found that the Banner Mobility Assessment Tool (BMAT) is an effective resource for performing a bedside assessment of patient mobility.

| Test | Task | Response | Fail = Choose most appropriate equipment/device(s) | Pass |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Assessment Level 1 Assessment of: • Trunk strength • Seated balance | Sit and shake: From a semi-redined position, ask patient to sit upright and rotate" to a seated position at side of bed; may use bedrail. Note patient's ability to maintain bedside position. Ask patient to reach out and grab your hand and shake, making sure patient reaches across his/her midlime. | Sit: Patient is able to follow commands, has some trunk strength; caregivers may be able to try weight- bearing if patient is able to maintain seated balance longer than 2 minutes (without caregiver assistance). Shake: Patient has significant upper body strength, awareness of body in space, and grasp strength. | MOBILITY LEVEL 1 • Use total lift with sling and/or repositioning sheet and/or straps. • Use lateral transfer devices, such as roll board, friction-reducing device (slide sheets/tube), or air-assisted device. Note: If patient has strict bed rest or bilateral non-weight-bearing restrictions, do not proceed with the assessment; patient is MOBILITY LEVEL 1. | Passed Assessment Level 1 — Proceed with Assessment Level 2. |
| Assessment Level 2 Assessment of: • Lower extremity strength • Stability | Stretch and point: With patient in seated position at side of bed, have patient place both feet on floor (or stool) with knees no higher than hips. Ask patient to stretch one leg and straighten knee, then bend ankle/flex and point toes. If appropriate, repeat with other leg. | Patient exhibits lower extremity stability, strength and control. May test only one leg and proceed accordingly (e.g., stroke patient, patient with ankle in cast). | MOBILITY LEVEL 2 • Use total lift for patient unable to weight- bear on at least one leg. • Use sit-to-stand lift for patient who can weight-bear on at least one leg. | Passed Assessment Level 2 — Proceed with Assessment Level 3. |
| Assessment Level 3 Assessment of: • Lower extremity strength for standing | Stand: Ask patient to elevate off bed or chair (seated to standing) using assistive device (cane, bedrail). Patient should be able to raise buttocks off bed and hold for a count of five. May repeat once. Note: Consider your patient's cognitive ability, including orientation and CAM assessment if applicable. | Patient exhibits upper and lower extremity stability and strength. May test with weight-bearing on only one leg and proceed accordingly (e.g., stroke patient, patient with ankle in cast). If any assistive device (cane, walker, crutches) is needed, patient is Mobility Level 3. | MOBILITY LEVEL 3 • Use non-powered raising/stand aid; default to powered si-to-stand lift if no stand aid is available. • Use total lift with ambulation accessories. • Use assistive device (cane, walker, crutches). Note: Patient passes Assessment Level 3 bot requires assistive device to ambulate or cognitive assessment indicates poor safety awareness; patient is MOBILITY LEVEL 3. | Passed Assessment Level 3 AND no assistive device needed — Proceed with Assessment Level 4. Consult with physical therapist when needed and appropriate. |
| Assessment Level 3 Assessment of: • Standing balance • Gait | Walk: Ask patient to march in place at bedside. Then ask patient to advance step and return each foot. Patient should display stability while performing tasks. Assess for stability and safety awareness. | Patient exhibits steady gait and good balance while marching and when stepping forward and backward. Patient can maneuver necessary turns for in-room mobility. Patient exhibits safety awareness. | MOBILITY LEVEL 3 If patient shows signs of unsteady gait or fails Assessment Level 4, refer back to MOBILITY LEVEL 3; patient is MOBILITY LEVEL 3. | MOBILITY LEVEL 4 MODIFIED INDEPENDENCE Passed = No assistance needed to ambulate; use your best clinical judgment to determine need for supervision during ambulation. |

Always default to the safest lifting/transfer method (e.g., total lift) if there is any doubt about the patient's ability to perform the task.

Boynton, American Nurse Today 2014

Hierarchical Assessment of Balance and Mobility (HABAM)

- Balance
- Transfers
- Mobility



educational use only. Version 1.2

Evidence from mobilization programs

- RCT: Mobility Program; Brown, JAMA Int Med, 2016
- Intervention: Twice daily assisted ambulation (15-20 minutes) + goal setting + mobility barriers
- Outcome: 1-month post hospital Life Space Assessment* (frequency, duration, distance)
- Results:
 - LSA: MP (52.5) vs. UC (41.6) (P = .02)
 - Falls: 0 in MP group vs. 3 in ÚC group

*predicts death, nursing home admissions, hospitalization

Evidence from mobilization programs

| Study | Intervention | Results |
|---------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Initial RCT; Mundy Chest, 2003 | Early mobilization | ↓ LOS 1.1 day vs. UC |
| Follow-up RCT: STRIDE; Hastings, JAGS, 2014 | Supervised walking program (early mobility | % DC home, 92% vs. 74% UC; p=.007 |
| Scale to 8 VAs; Hastings, Geriatrics, 2018 | Buy-in of leaders & staff; fidelity (early mobility; ≥ 20 min./day) | <u>Lessons learned</u> : Flexible staffing; competency checklist for staff training; EHR template(patient enrollment, walk distance & time, monitor progress, troubleshoot) |

Evidence from mobilization programs

- Review: 26 studies; Smart et al. Gerontol Geriatr Med, 2018
 - 6 nurse led, 5 PT led, 14 interdisciplinary (nurse, MD, PT, CNA)
 - Conclusion: Mobility programs involving multiple disciplines, monitoring, & documentation of patient activity most effective

Evidence from Fall Prevention Programs

Systematic Review (Cochrane): 24 Studies, Cameron, 2018

| Intervention | Fall risk reduction | Quality (No. trials) |
|----------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|
| Additional physiotherapy (supervised exercises) | 0.36 (95% CI 0.14 - 0.93) | Very low-quality → uncertain effect (2) |
| Bed & chair alarms | 0.93 (0.38-2.24) | Very low-quality \rightarrow uncertain effect (3) |

Evidence from Fall Prevention Programs

| Study | Intervention | Results |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 6-PACK | Care bundle: alarms, alerts, etc. no mobility) | Fall Rate: 1.04 (0.78-1.37) Injury Rate: 0.96 (0.72-1.27) |
| Systematic review | Bedrails | No studies found Marques, JBI Database 2017 |
| FallSafe:QI Sustainability, Healey Age and Ageing, 2014 | Care bundle: Postural BP; ↓ night time sedation, fall risk assessment; footwear, medication review | Fall rate: 0.75 (0.68–0.84) Injurious fall rate: 0.86 (95% CI 0.71–1.03) |
| Meta-analysis: Multicomponent delirium prevent. | Cognition, early mobility, hearing, sleep hygiene, vision, hydration | Fall rate: 0.38 (0.25-0.60) (2/4 early mobility) Hshieh. JAMA Int Med. 2015 |

Are these approaches cost effective?

- FallSafe: multifactorial approach is cost-effective if costs are <£100 per patient & ≥ 15% reduction in fall rate. Fall Safe costs <£700 / unit / month (well within threshold)
- Delirium prevention: very cost effective so addition of ↓ falls & ↑ mobility only enhances their cost effectiveness
- Doesn't require many hospital days saved to pay for mobilization

YNHH Mobility Story

Pre AFHS

- Overwhelming emphasis on fall prevention with focus on alarms, slippers, placards, bedrest orders, Fall Committee
- Early mobility in ICU

Peri and post AFHS

- Began measuring on ACE unit
- Institute AMPAC on 4 units
- Avoid bedrest order as default
- Gradual..... spread of early mobility across units
- Change falls committee to safe mobility committee

YNHH Ace unit Jan/ Feb 2018 vs Jan/ Feb 2019 Mobility



Mobility/Safety Technician



Increasing Mobility via In-hospital Ambulation Protocol Delivered by Mobility Technicians: A Pilot Randomized Controlled Trial. *J. Hosp. Med* 2019;5;272-277.

Mobility Assessment & Action: My suggestions

Hospital:

- Pick a screen that is quick & easy (and staff will do)
- Measure, track, and display mobility (frequency & distance)
- Earlier mobility the better
- Multicomponent delirium prevention (Sensory, mobility, avoid meds, remove tethers, sleep promotion) = fall prevention & safe mobility (all 4Ms in 1)
- Need culture change
 - Falls Committee becomes Safe Mobility Committee
 - Champions on every inpatient unit
 - Education for nursing and technicians
 - Patients & families demand

AFHS Safe Mobility: Ambulatory

- Screen for mobility: If don't have an existing tool, try Timed Up & Go (TUG)
- Assess & manage impairments (e.g. pain; strength, balance, gait)
- Avoid high risk medications; remove catheters, including
- Physical therapy if needed
- Support a home environment that is safe for mobility
- Support older adults to identify a daily mobility goal that supports What Matters
- Review and support progress toward the mobility goal

Examples of Assessment of Mobility in Community

- Extent of mobility
 - Life Space Assessment
 - Parker
- Assess balance & gait
 - Get up and Go; Timed up and Go
 - Performance oriented mobility assessment

Life-space assessment (LSA)



Peel C, Phys Ther. 2005

UAB study of aging life-space assessment

LSA

| Name: These questions refer to | vou | r act | ivities iu | ıst withi | n the pa | | h | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|
| Life-space level Frequency Independence Score | | | | | | | | |
| During the past four weeks, have you been to | | | How of | ten did ' | you get | there? | Did you use aids or equipment? Did you need help from another person? | Level X Frequency X Independence |
| Life-space level 1 Other rooms of your home besides the room where you sleep? | Yes 1 | No 0 | Less than 1 /week 1 | 1-3 times /week 2 | 4-6 times /week 3 | Daily 4 | 1 = personal assistance 1.5 = equipment only 2 = no equipment or personal assistance | Level 1 score |
| Score: | | X= | | | | | | |
| Life-space level 2 An area outside your home such as your porch, deck or patio, hallway (of an apartment building) or garage, in your own yard or driveway? | Yes 2 | No 0 | Less than 1 /week | 1-3 times /week 2 | 4-6 times /week | Daily 4 | 1 = personal assistance 1.5 = equipment only 2 = no equipment or personal assistance | Level 2 score |
| Score: | | X= | | | | | | |
| Life-space level 3 Places in your neighborhood, other than your own yard or apartment building? | Yes 3 | No 0 | Less than 1 /week 1 | 1-3 times /week 2 | 4-6 times /week 3 | Daily 4 | 1 = personal assistance 1.5 = equipment only 2 = no equipment or personal assistance | Level 3 score |
| Score: | | xx_ | | | | <= | | |
| Life-space level 4 Places outside your neighborhood, but within your town? | Yes 4 | No 0 | Less than 1 /week 1 | 1-3 times /week 2 | 4-6 times /week 3 | Daily 4 | 1 = personal assistance 1.5 = equipment only 2 = no equipment or personal assistance | Level 4 score |
| Score: | | XXXX | | | | = | | |
| Life-space level 5 Places outside your town? | Yes 5 | No 0 | Less than 1 /week 1 | 1-3 times /week 2 | 4-6 times /week 3 | Daily 4 | 1 = personal assistance 1.5 = equipment only 2 = no equipment or personal assistance | Level 5 score |
| Score: | | X= | | | | | | |
| Total score (add) | | | | | | Sum of levels | | |

Peel C, Phys Ther. 2005

Parker Mobility Score

Table I. Assessment of mobility before the fracture. Score is the total, 0 to 9

| Mobility | No difficulty | With an aid | With help from another person | Not at all |
|------------------------------|------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|---------------|
| Able to get about the house | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Able to get out of the house | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Able to go shopping | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |

Mobility Assessment & Action: My suggestions Ambulatory:

- Pick a screen that is quick & easy (Annual wellness visit)
- Multicomponent (e.g. STEADI <u>www.cdc.gov/steadi/index.html</u>)
 - PT-balance, gait, strength, assistive device, environment
 - Nurse/MD- risk medications, postural BPs, chronic conditions
- Tie mobility goal to What Matters (*if you were able to walk, get around more safely, what would you want to do more of?; what would you most want to do more as a result of your therapy*?)